

Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level In History (WHI02) Paper 1C

Paper 2: Breadth Study with Source Evaluation

Option 1C: Russia, 1917–91: From Lenin to Yeltsin

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2019 Publications Code WHI02_1C_1901_ER All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2019

Introduction

It was pleasing to see a range of well-informed and well-written responses from candidates on IAS Paper WHI02 1C which covers the option Russia, 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/ continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

It is pleasing to note that in Section A some candidates demonstrated an understanding what was meant by 'value' in question 1a) in the context of source analysis in this session. However, many still continue to write about limitations to the source and since this is not covered by 'value' and hence not rewarded in the mark scheme, means that candidates disadvantage themselves in terms of the time take to develop such arguments which impacts on the time they have to spend on the rest of the paper. Candidates are also still struggling with the concept of 'weight' in question 1b). Candidates need to approach weight by considering the reliability of the source. This can be measured in terms of the trustworthiness of the provenance and/or the accuracy of the content. Hence candidates should explore the strengths and limitations of the source and on then, based upon their judgements ascribe weight to the source. Many candidates also make use of contextual knowledge to expand on the detail in the sources, and make limited use of the context to develop reasoned inferences and to discuss what can be gained from the source. This limits achievement in bullet point 2 of the mark scheme and impacts on the overall mark rewarded.

In Section B, some candidates produced descriptive essays which had limited of analysis, but more responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was the lack of a sharp focus on the precise terms of the question and/or the second order concept that was targeted.

It remains important to realise that Section A topics are drawn from highlighted topics on the specification whereas Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important. There was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B. The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

Question 1a)

The majority of responses achieved level 2 and a good proportion entered level 3. These responses demonstrated an understanding of the source material and an ability to draw and develop inferences from the material using their contextual knowledge to explain inferences as well as expanding on matters in the source. Valid comments were made on the provenance of the source and value explained. The common features of responses that could not access level three were use of contextual knowledge to expand upon material in the source (this was usually presented in a separate paragraph) and a lack of discussion on the value of the source. It is important that candidates do reach a judgement on the value. Candidates should not, therefore, focus on addressing the enquiry itself but on the value of the source to an historian making the enquiry. Candidates who achieve this score well in level three. There is no reference to the limitations of the source in the question and mark scheme and therefore comments on lack of value should be avoided.

Saurce 1 is nightly uslusble for an enquiry into the significance of the policy of liserslisstion Under Warushchen Seconse 25 mentioned 114 the provensuce it was written by & Cronsaler it a primary source. As regional deputy moling of the port of the Soviet youth group, Croibacher would have been very close to the source and would know things from belind the scenes moving the source very voluble. Further the provensive states that he had "access to the full text of the secret speech" this plloweel Wine, Mill Workscher to know the places and gools threw suchen was had with the policy of liserslipstion solding more value to the saurce. As a deputy of the noursound, Gorsschen was had insight into why and how Ulkrushchen was moving the planning on USSR MORE lisers), giving the people more rights than they use used under Stalin.

Moreauer, Source 1 is volussle for an enquiry into the significance of the policy of liseralissition under Lecause it shows the import it used on the populat the population. used " The actual consequences of kharsticher's political actions were crucial this proves furt knowsuchen's attempt to de stativise the USSR did Sring 2 reaction. The Source also shows that it did help the people " khrushchen must se given credit too the remobilitation of thousands of people" He tried to take away por that grew throughout USSR under Station. He wanted the whole to allow people to be more free and to "modernise the party" in order to bring disige, something the USSR wi eau of This shows that source T desperste need con te q is very usuable for an enquiry into the significance of the policy of liserslisstion under Uchrushchen, by Stating the plans and achievements Warushicher has had during his time 25 lesder of the party Party. It also shows why this policy was a failure " he still foced sitter resistance that led to his eventual defeat." Althoug this

his bilure it addls will Shows 1 for an enquiry into Source iservissita significance of the policy Invisition OVERSI 1 le given 10+ Sance wust 2 enquiny into the 4 coule 8191 Value NG 701 liserslisstion policy of Riva ventioned Ulmush cher Due te 26 prover ance Source 2 primory M. V. Wall Who was rois scher Vousomel 2120 region 81 deputy 15 fre Stores redsous Value wonted the liserali sation shaven

This is a low level 3 response. The answer draws out a couple of inferences in the second paragraph which are supported by the evidence in the source. The provenance is used to make valid comments about the reliability of the source. However, the contextual knowledge is used to expand on the details in the source rather than to develop inferences and hence the answer achieves level 2 in bullet point 2 which holds this at low level three.

Source I is valuable for to a historian for an enging the de significance of the policy of libralisation under Khriteher de la its provenance . The server is written by Gerbachen, the last looks of the USSR, in 1995, after the fall of the Savet Ohion, Bohacher also attempted reforms on the farty is a similar way Khrischen did, in order 60 walken the statinit system therefore all by having acces to all managere historical resources of the Party as well as being member of the Konsond drive Khrokeher leadership be work to an a way reliable position in to comment about the significance of Khrisher's police as allow he work undertake a similar pathway to extern the Ref and st malle the same mishakes than khruscher when borbacher says that ! The criticium of Adia discodited totalifacianism and every hopes to refer we can ofer that a consequence of his policy and that de-station was going to accor and the exclana of accorship was going to to remarch. This can be demonstrated as books like

Khrishche lookohip which amoustakes the high apposition for the elite more encisht la Surce 17/0 the. 1:600 sation Signi/ Cance Decally source I has entre for inte significance of thealisation thouse the cost of the second of. and because as an infer that this policy significance as enabled hears social speech also down ka ... significance was linked 6-pact us this Car. L'an

This is a high level three response. Inferences are drawn out about the release of prisoners and on opposition to liberalisation within the party. In this response the contextual knowledge is used to develop the inferences rather than to expand as in the previous example. Relevant discussion of the provenance and the value of the source mean that this response achieves level three in all bullet point and is therefore a high level three.

Question 1b)

Most candidates were able to achieve level three in response to this question and there were some good answers that entered level 4. In question 1b, candidates need to evaluate the weight of the source in relation to the enquiry. This requires them to investigate the strengths and limitations of the source and to reach a judgement. In the case of level three answers, the judgement is often undeveloped or not explained. Collectivisation is a very familiar topic to candidates but this does come with pitfalls – many candidates are eager to display their depth of knowledge on the topic and develop very lengthy description of collectivisation from their knowledge. This is not a successful approach and leads to achievement at level one or level two in bullet point 2 because at bets the contextual knowledge is used for support of expansion and not to interrogate the evidence in the source. The better approach is to use the context to illuminate what could therefore be gained from the source. Some candidates made good use of the authorship of the source and it implications to develop an evaluation and judgement that was based on valid criteria.

when from source 2 we read i result has been the source of Reserve age in the one of the that the policy of collectivisation has bad a durastating effect on the configside. This on be seen as gain production had fall from MT 73. 3 million tones in 1922 to 67 million borres 6 1932, which is significant as it dimonstrakes the state of terminal sickers that soviet agriculture was suffering and therefore gives store weight to force 2 for an enquing - isk be impacts of allecticiation. Moreover when Soras says " evoller collics associated with famine' are on if bat the south population was starving to dath, and this can be seen as a million people had died die to jame between 192-38 as and as another Smillion in Olkone - de la Haladorer (ourde ge alteriorian 62 stacen bin) This is significant because it stones against brail porten was not alle to see its own population and there shows that the impact of collections for bad for deastrons which sice acient to some 2 for an angrip into the Fraget for allections .

However, when Somes says that the polalows left are being counted one by and we are infer that agricultural production was at its lowest, but this is not completely accurate, this can be soon as the private plate that were left and producing G10 kilos per hectore compared to the 820 kilos of collective fame. The is significant as it show that to some event some is congressing the finalic impact of collectivistion ahich is not complete the as private place - exce spoting collective productor there for this gives less aright to some 2 on enginy into the impact of collectivisation According to the provenance, as this article is written in 1933, at this time the effects of collectivisation work be already see is the country ist that some with the as it was implemented since 1920 charafore this give fores weight for an anguly Poto de impact of collectivisation because visual effects would be the clear and directive in order to make a reliable judgement about the coffeets of collection

However, as the provenere is for an 6-1/3h newspaper, its purpose would be to denounce source atraciation and be critical about in order to create hat solicis among bitist 6 policies is critical throughout the whole extrac - Adving to positive impart to balance his agree a Since & loves weight Acce for an into the impact of allectiviation. Ourally the source has significant acient for an ongiting inte the impacts go allectivistion because even though he is contrail about policy as would expect . I show the scalt that collection had left Rossian agriculture in an shake got terminal sickness and even though his propose would have been to charace source acines against humanify the to collectivisation, most of the got what he says in the same can be training apported by widnes so it shows he is not accerageording De homble impact of collectivisation of Russia genicultic and the countryside population

This is a strong level 4 response. It draws out a range of inferences from the source material and these inferences are tested with contextual knowledge to develop the discussion on the weight of the source for the inquiry. The provenance of the source is used effectively. There is strong reasoning throughout the answer.

Question 2

This was the most popular essay question. The best answers were underpinned by a depth of knowledge on a range of relevant issues relating to the nature of the government of the USSR under Lenin and Stalin and were underpinned by an informed discussion on the extent of change. Informed responses examined the ideological principle behind communist government, considered the role of institutions such as *Sovnarkom*, and the growing importance of the authority of the leader developing into personal dictatorship served by a terror system. At the lower levels, candidates struggled to focus on the nature and government and tended to describe policies pursued by Lenin and Stalin with a focus on economic policy. Such responses provided some links to nature of government but were unable to access level four. Some candidates did not take sufficient notice of the time period set in the question and provided a survey of government from Lenin to Gorbachev. This restricted their achievement in the levels. The nature 1 the USSR yourment between 1917 - 1953 and be matched classified into three: Terror, bureaucony and Peronni Rule 1 Authorney) did not change Atthough the nature was not changed the ortent of second the the extent of the hugely varied and the under Startin's extreme amplit as flortin hugely amplitude. A firm though the Broomi Rule under Station might be agreed as change of the the yourment inture

The sof Terror usage was continuos from 1917 to 1953 unde lentin as Stating Keeping Hi nortin. Howard, Station Lentin's Red Any Terrorised country, Especially during the civil war. Requisitioning allowed than to loreibly take copy from peasants, to and the degree of Traffice let them have the Kulaics who I any reasons to JuriTPy station Lanin, however, did not use terror to the communist Party member but to apporterly & Station on the other hand, was extensive cases of tenor, as he used it to play contrate other party members that challenged his anterity. For example, the purge of Party, Inchesting the purge of 16, 17 and 21, Killed Zirovius and knowner and key & tisuks like Bukharin to got rid of & prople who had any impacts on the party what is more, he started to continue to expande the interiment terror by building up Gulag?, the labour cump and sends a number 1 TANOUN people . A Yezhovshichina, concluded by koin, TOTHE wis was bead of first police under stations to I was a massacre, killing more than 3 million and bearing unbelievable Typus of the of A eight of paper america in whole USIR. As a Hard, and the territe did at you ad charged, by states the extent of # Tochen und dramatically under Station, but the nortune of government, as fente also need temor, did not change.

Monover, 10 10 Bunancing in the DIJR opvenment shiped the same as supportes of contin and station, used as a power base. Lestin could be supported in the government from the ends 1917 or because it the Abmenklution's ystem. when be could append imported to the party like patronage sydem Lenin's usage of this burean cracy allowed him to have authority to been publish many decrees such of dense of Nortion 173 Min, Which helped him to to control the auntor further. State Likevik, Statin's and fundamental powerbuse rever betwee the death of Lenin in 1924 the case from his used bureaugrany, as he has general scaretary, the more @ he used homeniciptures the more supporter he had the also amplified his pome by inumiting form Enveloped and Starting Enrollment, anouraging mound now pople to Join the party and become supportes d etim. In this way sturn could manipulate other rivers of & himself by taking this of dicion pover M from the government, alle when Zirovia and Kamerer wat a tricked out fim the three lost their pover is a read of station leave and use bureaucracy, the native of a sover comment to to a build up their portical power , which means the noture of the gownment De stayed, but as clutin encouraged a number of prope more to the party and filled the government with his supporter it can be seen that he had bother use of burouconcy.

However TH could be argued that the parconat nule holin of provend ruh to changed under Station as the station remand any democracy left in the government, when benin was sometimed stopped by other party members, some Lenin, although the publicked on party's widy' banting the futions in the government, he was allowed free deporte in the puriture the prostant higher day is

the USSR, and he was an ollow stopped or disagned by the number, and Breamply (Nev Bornie plan when he introduced NEP, an many a community sublitanister werd against Id. attitizing H as capitarial & Hoveron Hurton, by removing everyone whe had any pove or import on the governer to had bell and it out the advertige. Anyone whe became popular amony people or was purged or accusingly. I'lle Kingy, at beent whe way station's belower when he was killed and a be made popular in Maliow. Monaver, his cutt of personality brought himself as " Joshd' of fike Führer 2 HAR TA Novi Gronomy even being loved by people everyone in the USIR Therefore, the notice of personal me mon into conta be challeged by durin as the god rid d any sort of challenges or partential challenge that might five him Never the less, be Lenin, even though he was a sould criticized, be was seen as the higherd figure in the government and the most I his ideas were pused, including conterestal NEP, Which an ran man that the nature 1 personal rule has also charged. To sum up, the nature of the sovied Government had not changed under Linin and station on between 1917 to 1953, as there attraction Terry not terror and cleke under leyin and Purgers and

lerror was used by both Lenin @ and Statio, @ china and NEVD, bureaucrany Wes need @ in terms of pour bure in the government, and personal rale where lenin and shifting how are the need important tiques in the government. However, the transfer was the horther and large under station, especially in Personal miles, that elinininated every form of opposition is a which might be argued that the norther of changed but the as large in the also the most reported florence the most changed but the as large which might also the most reported florence. It can be again can as development This is a strong level 4 response. It has focused analysis and developed judgements. There is a good range of knowledge that is deployed effectively The conclusion that the nature did not change but aspect were intensified forms a compelling argument and the response aches level 4 in all the bullet points.

Question 3

A small number of candidates answered this question. Some candidates were well informed on Brezhnev's policies to achieve a stable society and considered factors such as full employment and healthcare as well as the problem of alcohol. A number of candidates focused more on political stability and although these answers had some implicit focus on the question, they did not engage in the discussion at the highest level an achievement was therefore restricted.

Leonid Brezhwen did a number of things to create a stable society after the turbulent year al ichnichcher involving 'irus in carcher' increased living standards. This allowed a stuble society

Brechner was able to achieve a estable society by firstly creating a stable political system. He began the palicy at restoration which reversed any many of khrud cheves distilled reforme. He seversed the decentralisation at the party be by realizing the power buch to the & Gosplan, the main back for planning whiled goods have to be prochered in a year. Further the sourartchass were dismanifed and power returned back to be the alt-union Ministories which greatly decreased like nervosity of the party prover and as they now were used to be responsible for things they could not control properly. Also live haled lixed lenns were abolished

Also the people sour increase in wayes at Assy as Brezhner ectus adjuster bath real ways and per majes.

Houever, by Brechner in planenting many stabilising policies, it led to stagsate

E Even though Brozhnev stabilized the Soviet society with his policies, many led to stagnalian as well. The unlimited terms al people in postions meand that many afficiate would stay in their positions which stilled any creativity Coupled with the trust in caches policy many ad these allicials became corrupt as they apopted to make more money from their deadend jub radiuer than grow socialism. Further more, Brochney declared that Russia was now inse stope at davelaged socialism' and turned stope stopped encouraging a revolutionerry spirit which led to more comption and less unification alrich was vital for the USSR. Instead Brezhne would know on helping aller countries to acheve a 'permeand revolution' in Zibinabe, Mexico and Vielnaw. Morover, Brezhneve policy of indixed serve and "Housi in coolines " raised the little age al party

And economy. The men would alter above their wifes teaching to an increase of domestic violence and divercest rates. The economy suffered under these soulities

The high alcoholism rate in Soviel states lad many men exactsing absendism as they were either dranch ar hungover and incapable ad work. This led to the economy is prouder shrilph from 7% in 1859 to 3.3% in 1775 The stagnation of per balli politics

Whough Brezhner diel create a stable society In which 1/9 people had a car and many non had proper apartments, many ad his policies perpetuated the stagnation plaquing tooth society, cooncury and politics. The stagnation can however not be pinpointed an Brozhnevalane, he rubertod a system

was deamed to fail to an extend, due to the Fidged structure at a command economy and the unnithingness of many to allow change sillbed much needed proposs.

This is a low level 4 response. There is some analysis and attempt to explain the links to the question. However, in places it tends to wander into discussing political stability rather than the stable society. Therefore, it enters level 4 but does not reach the higher marks within the level.

Question 4

A small number of candidates answered this question. Most candidates scored in mid to high level three and into level 4. Candidates were well-informed on a range of economic problems that confronted the Soviet economy in the period 1964-85, although in several answers there was limited reference to military spending and this did impact on the analysis and development of the judgement in responses. The main reason for the weaknesses of the Sociel economy in the years 1960-1985 was the priority given to spending on the military but only to an extent.

Firstly Brezhnev was the General Secretary during the period 1964-1982 and in 1985 Hikhail Gorbachev took over his scat. Brezhnev led to a stagnation in the economy not only by spending two much money on the military to compete with the western powers to Right in the Cold War but also because of his social & political policies which made the workforce mable to produce goods and services for womple. Brokney spend 25% of the USBR'S GDP into the military. This is a significant amount of money. The USSR wonted too keep up with the Western powers and incested a lot of money into technology. Moreave; they also invested money on missiles which were stationed in Gba so that they were closer to the USA. This was during the cold War where a lot of tension excited between the USA and the USSR. While the USA had positioned missiles in Tirkey, Russia had them in Cuba. Brezhneu's ain was to keep up with the technology, excaponay in the uestern countries. They also invested money into the Russian Air Force, Navy, and the opaceprogramm where they were accreased because they were the first nation who accomplished a trip to the moon There was a constant rivally between the USA and the USSR and

each country invested millions into the million to be better than the other.

in the year, 1985 were the social policies of Brechner. Brezhner Stognation in the society was enarmous. Although he increased the minimum and the real wave by SOTothe people could not do a lot with that money because the second goods and services were missing due to the weak economy. Require enfoyed higher living stondards. However, prices of some goods are too high (water was more expensive than walka). Therefore a lot of Russian bought codka instead of water and the USSR faced the problem of alcoholism. Due that a lot of the workforce was inable to work and there was the famous work off monday. Workes were so drunk that they didn't go to work on mondays. The Additionally, the USSR had the highest divorce rate in Europe. 30% of all marriages in Hoscow ended up in a divorce. This ted to a lot of single people who were declasted and were in debt Moreover, single living people are spending tess money than manied people which decreased consumption in the USSR Polifically, Brezhneu kept his secretorics such as chemetro for neony 18 years. A lot of the polithalas during Breahneu's time as General Scartary were 60+ 70+ as This led to no now reforms because they were enjoying their political stake bet with no new policies the workers got inmolivated and had no pressure and get to work However, in 1977 the new Societ Constitution was put in order which areated the norm 5 day work week.

Horeaver, the next reason for the weakness of the Sariet conomy in the years 1964-1985 was the spending on imports, and the decline in economic growth due to no investment. Although the Russians found oil in Siberia in the mid 1970's, they could not improve their economy. Boch then the discovery of oil was one of the main aims for big powers such as the USSR. Havever, instead of investing the money born the all into their economy by spending it on their infrastructure for example, they had to spend their money on exports. They needed resources such as grain from Australia so that the divisions cald survive. Their economic growth rate declined from 7% in 1964 to merely 3% in 1982. This was die to Brochna. Although people had a high living standard and every 9th have hold had a cor, nearly every home had a television and a radio, there use no new economical policies since the 5 year plans. This leads back to the old politicians which had no inspiration to create new policies, the USBR would have needed young feesh politicions who were willing to charge something. However, Breenner had new plans on improving the economy, especially in the monutedering sector. He had worked out new technology and worked to train the workers so that they would get more shilled but since the workers were drock and often unaducated thay could not rearn the new shills and therefore the new improvements were denounced and the workers kept on working the old pashined way.

Overall, the main reason for the weakness of the Societ economy was
the priority given to spending on the military but only to on extent.
There were also Brezhneu's political and social policies achich
led to a stagnation of the economy. The spending on exports
is mother factor. Without a doubt, Breahner was an intelligent
General Secretory but in the end he was to old to come up
with new policies and a bid equistic be case he wanted to remain
General Secretary.

This is a mid-level 3 response. Its focus does vary but there is a good section that describes a range of relevant economic problems including stagnation and poor investment as well as making some reference to military spending. There is some inaccuracy and descriptive material on soviet society that has little relevance here. It achieves in level three with weak focus on the given factor but other relevant material. Based on the performance of this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

Section A

- Make sure you are aware of the topics highlighted for the source question and have prepared for them
- A careful reading of the sources is needed so that the issues raised are clearly identified
- You must ensure that you draw out inferences, but these should always be directly linked to the source and not driven by contextual knowledge
- You should consider the nature, origin and purpose of the source
- Do not merely restate what the provenance says think about how it can be used to address the question. In a, this requires a consideration of how it adds value and in b, this requires considering value and limitations
- Do not deal with the 'bullet points' separately value and weight are established by a more holistic approach that uses context and consideration of provenance to evaluate the source
- Contextual knowledge should be used to support the answer, not to drive it, and should be made relevant to the enquiry
- Question 1a does not require a consideration of the limitations of sources
- It is unlikely that weight can be assessed by listing all the things that a source does not deal with.

Section B

- Spending a few minutes planning helps to ensure the second order concept is correctly identified
- Candidates must provide more precise contextual knowledge as evidence. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should avoid a narrative/descriptive approach; this undermines the analysis that is required for the higher levels
- Candidates need to be aware of key dates as identified in the specification so that they can address the questions with chronological precision
- Essay questions are set over a period of at least ten years; candidates need to address the whole time period set in the question
- Candidates should try to explore the links between issues in order to make the structure of the response flow more logically and to enable the integration of analysis.

https://xtremepape.rs/